

## Tilburg University

### Statistically and computationally efficient estimation of the gravity model

Wansbeek, T.J.; Kapteyn, A.J.

*Publication date:*  
1984

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Wansbeek, T. J., & Kapteyn, A. J. (1984). *Statistically and computationally efficient estimation of the gravity model*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 154). Unknown Publisher.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM  
R

7626  
1984  
154

154  
1984  
154  
EWH

Bestemming

ECO

TIJDSCHRIFTENBUREAU  
BIBLIOTHEEK  
KATHOLIEKE  
HOGESCHOOL  
TILBURG

Nr.

R41

subfaculteit der econometrie

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM



TILBURG UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Postbus 90153 - 5000 LE Tilburg  
Netherlands



Statistically and Computationally Efficient  
Estimation of the Gravity Model

Tom Wansbeek<sup>\*)</sup>

and

Arie Kapteyn<sup>\*\*)</sup>

<sup>\*)</sup> Groningen University, Econometric Institute, P.O. Box 800,  
9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Tilburg University, Department of Econometrics, P.O. Box 90153,  
5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands.

## Abstract

Least squares estimation of the gravity model in log-linear form is, under certain assumptions, statistically efficient. Computational efficiency (essential when the number of nodes distinguished is large) is obtained by estimation via the so-called covariance transformation. This transformation can only be applied when flows are observed between all nodes. It therefore breaks down in the generic case where there are no flows observed from node  $i$  to itself. In the paper, an alternative transformation is presented that allows for efficient computation of the estimates in the generic case.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we present a computationally efficient calibration method<sup>1)</sup> for the widely used gravity model. In log-linear form the model can be written as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \beta d_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, R,$$

where  $y_{ij}$  is the logarithm of the traffic flow from node  $i$  to node  $j$ ;  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  are generation and attraction factors respectively, specific to nodes  $i$  and  $j$ ;  $d_{ij}$  is some measure of the distance between  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is a random disturbance term, which we assume to be normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance,  $\sigma^2$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$ . The parameters  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\gamma_j$  and  $\beta$  are unknown and have to be estimated.

Depending upon the particular context to which the model is applied, a different operationalisation of  $d_{ij}$  will be used. A fairly general specification would be to replace  $\beta d_{ij}$  by  $\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k x_{ijk}$ , where the  $\beta_k$  are parameters and  $x_{ijk}$  are different measures of the distance between  $i$  and  $j$ . This transforms model (1.1) into

$$(1.2) \quad y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k x_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, R$$

If we would have observations on  $y_{ij}$  and  $x_{ijk}$  for all  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $k$ , estimation of (1.2) by means of ordinary least squares would be statistically efficient, i.e. satisfy the Gauss-Markov theorem. Although the number of parameters may be large in practice, namely  $2R + K$ , use of the well-known covariance transformation reduces the computational burden of calibration to manageable proportions. For later reference, we give these computationally efficient formulas for the estimates of  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\gamma_j$  and  $\beta_k$  in a Lemma.

Define:

1) We will use the terms "calibration" and "estimation" interchangeably, but the latter more frequently.



$$(1.3) \quad x_{i..k} \equiv \frac{1}{R} \sum_j x_{ijk}, \quad x_{.jk} \equiv \frac{1}{R} \sum_i x_{ijk}, \quad x_{...k} \equiv \frac{1}{R} \sum_i \sum_j x_{ijk},$$

$$k = 1, \dots, K$$

and  $y_{i.}$ ,  $y_{.j}$ ,  $y_{..}$  analogously. Next define

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{x}_{ijk} \equiv x_{ijk} - x_{i..k} - x_{.jk} + x_{...k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K;$$

$$\bar{y}_{ij} \equiv y_{ij} - y_{i.} - y_{.j} + y_{..}.$$

Furthermore, let  $b_k$  be the OLS-estimate of  $\beta_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , in the transformed model

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{y}_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \bar{x}_{ijk} + \bar{\epsilon}_{ij},$$

where  $\bar{\epsilon}_{ij} \equiv \epsilon_{ij} - \epsilon_{i.} - \epsilon_{.j} + \epsilon_{..}$ , in obvious notation.

Lemma. Least squares estimates of  $\beta_k$ ,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  in model (1.2) are  $b_k$ ,  $a_i$  and  $c_j$ , respectively, where

$$(1.6) \quad a_i \equiv (y_{i.} - y_{..}) - \sum_k (x_{i..k} - x_{...k}) b_k$$

$$(1.7) \quad c_j \equiv (y_{.j} - y_{..}) - \sum_k (x_{.jk} - x_{...k}) b_k + y_{..} - \sum_k x_{...k} b_k.$$

The estimates of  $\beta_k$  are unique, those of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  are unique up to an additive constant.

Proof: e.g. Scheffé (1959), Cesario (1975), Judge et al. (1980, Ch. 8).

The non-uniqueness of the estimates of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  is already clear from model (1.2) where we can add a constant to all  $\alpha_i$  and subtract the same constant from all  $\gamma_j$  without affecting the  $y_{ij}$ . This lack of uniqueness can be used to obtain a slightly more elegant expression for  $c_j$ . Notice that the  $a_i$  sum to zero. We can introduce a constant term  $\beta_0$  in (1.2) and impose the restriction that both the  $a_i$  and the  $c_j$

add up to zero. In that case the last two terms on the right hand side of (1.7) drop out, giving (1.6) and (1.7) a similar structure. The estimate of  $\beta_0$  is then

$$(1.8) \quad b_0 = y_{..} - \sum_k x_{..k} b_k.$$

The obvious advantage of the least squares solution here is its computational simplicity. Rather than having to invert<sup>1)</sup> a matrix of order  $(2R+K) \times (2R+K)$ , when applying OLS to (1.2) directly, we can carry out a simple transformation of the data, first estimate the  $\beta_k$  which requires inversion of a  $K \times K$ -matrix only and then compute the estimates of the  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  straightforwardly.

A typical characteristic of traffic flow data is that there will be no observations for  $i = j$ , i.e. one does not observe a flow from node  $i$  to itself. As a result, the lemma cannot be applied. Although one could still try to apply least squares to (1.2) directly, this will turn out to be non-feasible for many realistic problems where  $R$  may large (we return to this in section 3). Hence, we require results similar to those presented in the lemma, for the model where observations are missing whenever  $i = j$ . These results are the main objective of this paper and are collected in the theorem below.

Define:

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{x}_{i.k} \equiv \frac{1}{R-1} \sum_j x_{ijk}, \quad \tilde{x}_{.jk} \equiv \frac{1}{R-1} \sum_i x_{ijk}, \quad \tilde{x}_{..k} \equiv \frac{1}{R(R-1)} \sum_i \sum_j x_{ijk},$$

$k = 1, \dots, K,$

and  $\tilde{y}_{i.}, \tilde{y}_{.j}, \tilde{y}_{..}, \tilde{\epsilon}_{i.}, \tilde{\epsilon}_{.j}, \tilde{\epsilon}_{..}$  analogously. To appreciate the definitions, notice that the total number of observations is now  $R(R-1)$  instead of  $R^2$  and that for given  $k$  and  $i$  (and for given  $k$  and  $j$ ), there are  $R-1$  observations  $x_{ijk}$ . Next define

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{x}_{ijk} \equiv x_{ijk} - \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} \tilde{x}_{i.k} - \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} \tilde{x}_{.jk} - \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} \tilde{x}_{j.k} -$$

1) Since this matrix has at most rank  $2R + K-1$ , a generalized inverse has to be taken, of course.



$$- \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} \tilde{x}_{.ik} + \frac{R}{R-2} \tilde{x}_{..k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

and  $\tilde{y}_{ij}$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{ij}$  analogously. Note that for large  $R$ ,  $\tilde{x}_{ijk}$  will be close to  $\bar{x}_{ijk}$  defined by (1.4). Furthermore, let  $\hat{b}_k$  be the OLS-estimate of  $\beta_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , in the transformed model

$$(1.11) \quad \tilde{y}_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \tilde{x}_{ijk} + \tilde{\epsilon}_{ij},$$

then we define  $\tilde{\Omega}$  as  $\tilde{\Omega} = \sigma^2 (\tilde{X}'\tilde{X})^{-1}$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is the  $R(R-1) \times K$  matrix with typical element  $\tilde{x}_{ijk}$  and denote a typical element of  $\tilde{\Omega}$  by  $\tilde{\omega}_{kl}$ . The quantity  $\tilde{\sigma}^2$  is defined as the "usual" best quadratic unbiased estimator of  $\text{var}(\tilde{\epsilon}_{ij})$  in this model, and

$$(1.12) \quad r_{ij} \equiv y_{ij} - \sum_k \hat{b}_k x_{ijk}$$

$$(1.13) \quad r_{i.} \equiv \frac{1}{R-1} \sum_j r_{ij}, \quad r_{.i} \equiv \frac{1}{R-1} \sum_j r_{ij}, \quad r_{..} \equiv \frac{1}{R(R-1)} \sum_i \sum_j r_{ij}.$$

In addition we define:

$$(1.14) \quad q_{ik} \equiv \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} x_{i.k} + \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} x_{.ik} - \frac{1}{R-2} x_{..k}$$

$$(1.15) \quad s_{jk} \equiv \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} x_{j.k} + \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} x_{.jk} - \frac{R-1}{R-2} x_{..k}.$$

Theorem. Let in model (1.2) observations be missing if and only if  $i = j$ . Least squares estimates of  $\beta_k$ ,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  are  $\hat{b}_k$ ,  $\hat{a}_i$  and  $\hat{c}_j$ , where

$$(1.16) \quad \hat{a}_i \equiv \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} r_{i.} + \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} r_{.i} - \frac{1}{R-2} r_{..}$$

$$(1.17) \quad \hat{c}_j \equiv \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} r_{j.} + \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} r_{.j} - \frac{R-1}{R-2} r_{..}$$

The estimates of  $\beta_k$  are unique, those of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  are unique up to an additive constant. The variance covariance matrix of the estimates  $\hat{b}_k$  is  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . The best quadratic unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  is

$$(1.18) \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 \equiv \tilde{\sigma}^2 \frac{R(R-1)-K}{R(R-1)-(2R+K-1)}.$$

The coefficient of determination is

$$(1.19) \quad \tilde{R}^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{\{R(R-1)-(2R+K-1)\} \hat{\sigma}^2}{\sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2}$$

The variances of  $\hat{a}_i$  and  $\hat{c}_j$  are

$$(1.20) \quad \text{var}(\hat{a}_i) = \hat{\sigma}^2 \frac{2R^2-3R-1}{R(R-1)(R-2)} + \sum_k \sum_\ell q_{ik} q_{i\ell} \tilde{\omega}_{k\ell}, \quad i = 1, \dots, R,$$

$$(1.21) \quad \text{var}(\hat{c}_j) = \hat{\sigma}^2 \frac{(R-1)^2}{R^2(R-2)} + \sum_k \sum_\ell s_{jk} s_{j\ell} \tilde{\omega}_{k\ell}, \quad j = 1, \dots, R.$$

Section 2 will be devoted to a proof of this theorem and section 3 to a discussion of its practical importance.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Rewrite model (1.2) in matrix form as follows,

$$(2.1) \quad y = Z\delta + X\beta + \varepsilon,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad y \equiv (y_{12}, y_{13}, \dots, y_{1R}, y_{21}, y_{23}, \dots, y_{2R}, \dots, y_{R1}, \dots, y_{R,R-1})'$$

$$(2.3) \quad \varepsilon \equiv (\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{13}, \dots, \varepsilon_{1R}, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{23}, \dots, \varepsilon_{2R}, \dots, \varepsilon_{R1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{R,R-1})'$$

$X$  is a  $R(R-1) \times K$  matrix of which the  $k$ -th column  $x_k$  is

$$(2.4) \quad x_k \equiv (x_{12k}, x_{13k}, \dots, x_{1Rk}, x_{21k}, x_{23k}, \dots, x_{2Rk}, \dots, x_{R1k}, \dots, x_{R,R-1,k})'$$

$$(2.5) \quad Z \equiv (Z_1, Z_2), \quad R(R-1) \times 2R,$$

with

$$(2.6) \quad Z_1 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & \vdots & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & \vdots & \\ & & & 1 & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} (R-1) \\ (R-1) \\ (R-1) \\ (R-1) \end{matrix}$$

R

$$Z_2 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & \vdots & \\ 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} (R-1) \\ (R-1) \\ (R-1) \\ (R-1) \end{matrix}$$

R

$$(2.7) \quad \beta \equiv (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)' , \quad \delta \equiv (\alpha', \gamma')' ,$$

with

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_R)' , \quad \gamma \equiv (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_R)'$$

In a slightly more compact form, (2.1) can be written as

$$(2.9) \quad y = W\rho + \epsilon ,$$

with

$$(2.10) \quad W \equiv (Z, X), \quad \rho \equiv (\beta', \delta')'$$

The matrix  $Z$  defined by (2.5) has rank  $2R-1$  and hence  $W$  will generally be of rank  $2R-1+K$ . Consequently, there is no unique least squares solution for the  $(2R+K)$ -vector  $\rho$ . It is well-known however, (cf., e.g., Searle, 1971, Ch. 5), that all least squares solutions for  $\rho$  are generated by

$$(2.11) \quad \hat{\rho} = (W'W)^- W'y,$$

where  $(W'W)^-$  is a g-inverse of  $W'W$ . By varying over the set of all possible g-inverses of  $W'W$  we generate all possible solutions  $\hat{\rho}$ . Furthermore,

$$(2.12) \quad \text{var}(\hat{\rho}) = \sigma^2 (W'W)^- W'W(W'W)^-$$

and the best quadratic unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  is

$$(2.13) \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{y'[I - W(W'W)^- W']y}{R(R-1) - \text{rank}(W)}.$$

The coefficient of determination is

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{y'[I - W(W'W)^- W']y}{y'y - R(R-1)y_{..}^2}.$$

The proof of the theorem consists of an elaboration of these formulas, with respect to the model at hand. We will start with (2.11).

$$(2.15) \quad W'W = \begin{bmatrix} Z'Z & Z'X \\ X'Z & X'X \end{bmatrix},$$

so that a g-inverse of  $W'W$  is (cf. Rohde, 1965):

$$(2.16) \quad (W'W)^- = \begin{bmatrix} (Z'Z)^- + (Z'Z)^- Z'X(X'PX)^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^- & -(Z'Z)^- Z'X(X'PX)^{-1} \\ -(X'PX)^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^- & (X'PX)^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $(Z'Z)^-$  is a g-inverse of  $Z'Z$  and  $P \equiv I - Z(Z'Z)^- Z$ ;  $P$  is idempotent and invariant under the choice of  $(Z'Z)^-$ . Using (2.16) to elaborate (2.11) yields

$$(2.17) \quad \hat{\rho} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{d} \\ \hat{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (Z'Z)^- Z' \{y - (X'PX)^{-1} X'Py\} \\ (X'PX)^{-1} X'Py \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that  $P$  and hence  $\hat{b}$  is invariant under the choice of a  $g$ -inverse of  $Z'Z$ , i.e.  $\hat{b}$  is unique, as claimed in the theorem. The non-uniqueness of  $\hat{d}$  can be investigated formally by employing the theory of estimable functions. For the sake of brevity, this part of the proof is omitted here.

The next step is to elaborate the matrix  $P$ , to obtain a formula for  $\hat{b}$  that is computationally efficient. First, notice that

$$(2.18) \quad Z'Z = \begin{bmatrix} (R-1)I_R & J_R - I_R \\ J_R - I_R & (R-1)I_R \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_R$  is the identity matrix of order  $R$  and  $J_R$  is a square  $R \times R$ -matrix of ones. It can be verified directly that the following matrix is a  $g$ -inverse of  $Z'Z$ :

$$(2.19) \quad (Z'Z)^- = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R-1} I_R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(R-1)^2} E_R & \frac{1}{R-1} E_R \\ \frac{1}{R-1} E_R & E_R \end{bmatrix},$$

with  $E_R \equiv I_R - \frac{1}{R} J_R$ . Next, it is a matter of straightforward manipulation to show that

$$(2.20) \quad Z(Z'Z)^-Z' = \frac{1}{R(R-2)} \{ (R-1)Z_1Z_1' + Z_1Z_2' + Z_2Z_1' + (R-1)Z_2Z_2' - \frac{R}{R-1} J_N \},$$

where  $J_N$  is an  $N \times N$ -matrix of ones,  $N \equiv R(R-1)$ . For  $P$  we thus obtain

$$(2.21) \quad P = I - Z(Z'Z)^-Z' = \\ = I_N - \frac{1}{R-2} (Z_1Z_1' + Z_2Z_2') + \frac{1}{R(R-2)} (Z_1 - Z_2)(Z_1 - Z_2)' + \frac{1}{(R-1)(R-2)} J_N$$

To see how this works out for  $\hat{b}$ , consider as an example the expression for  $Py$ . Define  $\eta_1 \equiv Z_1'y$ ,  $\eta_2 \equiv Z_2'y$ ,  $\eta_3 \equiv 1_N'y$ , with  $1_N$  an  $N$ -vector of ones. This yields

$$(2.22) \quad Py = y - \frac{1}{R-2} (Z_1\eta_1 + Z_2\eta_2) + \frac{1}{R(R-2)} (Z_1 - Z_2)(\eta_1 - \eta_2) + \frac{\eta}{(R-1)(R-2)} 1_N$$

Thus the  $(i,j)$ -element of the vector  $Py$  is

$$(2.23) \quad (Py)_{ij} = y_{ij} - \frac{1}{R-2}(\eta_{1i} + \eta_{2j}) + \frac{1}{R(R-2)}(\eta_{1i} - \eta_{1j} - \eta_{2i} + \eta_{2j}) + \frac{\eta}{(R-1)(R-2)}$$

or

$$(2.24) \quad (Py)_{ij} = \tilde{y}_{ij},$$

as defined on the previous section (cf. (1.10) and below). Premultiplication of  $X$  by  $P$  transforms the columns of  $X$  analogously, so that  $x_{ijk}$  is replaced by  $\tilde{x}_{ijk}$ , cf. (1.10). As a result we recognize the formula for  $\hat{b}$  as the least squares estimate of  $\beta$  in model (1.11), as claimed in the theorem.

Now consider  $\hat{d} \equiv (\hat{a}', \hat{c}')'$ , where  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_R)'$ ,  $\hat{c} = (\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \dots, \hat{c}_R)'$ . The expression for  $\hat{d}$  in (2.17) can be rewritten as

$$(2.25) \quad \hat{d} = (Z'Z)^- Z'r,$$

where  $r \equiv y - X\hat{b}$ . Define

$$(2.26) \quad p_1 \equiv Z_1'r, \quad p_2 \equiv Z_2'r, \quad p \equiv 1_N'r = 1_R' p_1 = 1_R' p_2$$

Using (2.19), we can write

$$(2.27) \quad \hat{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{R-1} p_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R-1} \left( \frac{1}{R-1} p_1 + p_2 - \frac{p}{R-1} 1_R \right) \\ \frac{1}{R-1} p_1 + p_2 - \frac{p}{R-1} 1_R \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{R(R-2)} \begin{bmatrix} (R-1) p_1 + p_2 - \frac{p}{R-1} 1_R \\ p_1 + (R-1) p_2 - p \cdot 1_R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

Inserting the expressions for  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p$  in (2.27) directly yields (1.16) and (1.17) of the Theorem.

Now consider the variances of the estimators. It is easy to verify that  $(Z'Z)^-$  given by (2.19) is a reflexive  $g$ -inverse of  $Z'Z$  and



that  $(W'W)^-$  given by (2.16) is a reflexive g-inverse of  $W'W$ . Hence the variance covariance matrix of  $\hat{\rho}$  is  $\sigma^2(W'W)^-$ . Consequently  $\sigma^2(X'PX)^{-1}$  is the variance covariance matrix of  $\hat{b}$  and this is nothing else than  $\tilde{\Omega}$  defined below (1.11), as claimed in the Theorem.

From (2.16), we have that the variance covariance matrix of  $\hat{d}$  is equal to

$$(2.28) \quad \text{var}(\hat{d}) = \text{var} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{c} \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 \{ (Z'Z)^- + (Z'Z)^- Z'X(X'PX)^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^- \}$$

To prove (1.20) and (1.21) in the Theorem, we will show that these expressions correspond to diagonal elements of the matrix on the right hand side of (2.28). First consider  $(Z'Z)^-$ . From (2.19) it is clear that its diagonal elements in the upper left  $(R \times R)$ -block are equal to  $(2R^2 - 3R - 1)/R(R-1)(R-2)$ . The diagonal elements in the lower right  $(R \times R)$ -block are equal to  $(R-1)^2/R^2(R-2)$ . Next, consider  $(Z'Z)^- Z'X(X'PX)^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^-$ . To evaluate this expression, we note that the  $k$ -th column of  $(Z'Z)^- Z'X$  has the same structure as  $\hat{d}$ , given in (2.25). By analogy with (2.27) and (1.16) and (1.17) it is clear that

$$(2.29) \quad (Z'Z)^- Z'X = \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ S \end{pmatrix},$$

where the  $(R \times K)$ -matrices  $Q$  and  $S$  have typical elements  $q_{ik}$  and  $s_{jk}$ , defined in (1.14) and (1.15). Denoting the  $(k, \ell)$ -element of  $\sigma^2(X'PX)^{-1}$  by  $\tilde{\omega}_{k\ell}$ , as in section 1, we obtain as typical diagonal elements of  $(Z'Z)^- Z'X(X'PX)^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^-$ ,  $\sum_k \sum_{\ell} q_{ik} q_{i\ell} \tilde{\omega}_{k\ell}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, R$ , in the upper left  $(R \times R)$ -block and  $\sum_k \sum_{\ell} s_{jk} s_{j\ell} \tilde{\omega}_{k\ell}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, R$ , in the lower right  $(R \times R)$ -block. Combining this with the results for  $(Z'Z)^-$  yields (1.20) and (1.21) of the Theorem.

To arrive at the best quadratic estimate for  $\sigma^2$  we note that in (2.13)  $y'[I - W(W'W)^- W']y$  is equal to  $y'P(I - PX(X'PX)^{-1} X'P)Py$ , which is simply the residual sum of squares for model (1.11). However, when applying least squares to (1.11), we would divide the residual sum of squares by  $R(R-1) - K$  to arrive at the best quadratic estimator of  $\sigma^2$  in that model, whereas in (2.13) we divide by  $R(R-1) - (2R+K-1)$ , which explains the "degrees of freedom corrections" in (1.18) of the Theorem.

Finally, (2.14) immediately implies (1.19).

### 3. Discussion

As with the standard model, we can use the indeterminateness of the estimates of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  to introduce an intercept  $\beta_0$ , and to restrict  $\hat{a}_i$  and  $\hat{c}_j$  to sum to zero. It is easily seen from (1.17) that the  $\hat{c}_j$  already add up to zero, so that we only have to adapt the  $r_{..}$ -term in (1.16); (1.16) is hence replaced by

$$(1.16)' \quad \hat{a}_i = \frac{(R-1)^2}{R(R-2)} r_{i.} + \frac{R-1}{R(R-2)} r_{.i} - \frac{R-1}{R-2} r_{..}$$

and the estimate of  $\beta_0$  is then

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{b}_0 \equiv r_{..},$$

which is analogous to (1.8).

A comparison of the formulas in the Theorem with the corresponding ones in the Lemma, shows that for  $R \rightarrow \infty$  they become pairwise identical. This is what one might have expected, because for increasing  $R$  the missing observations make up a smaller proportion of the total number of observations.

The formulas in the Theorem look a bit more complicated than those in the Lemma, but their computational complexity is the same. Given the estimate for  $\beta$ ,  $\hat{b}$ , the computation of all other quantities ( $\hat{a}_i, \hat{c}_i$ , etc.) requires at most  $O(N)$  time ( $N \equiv R(R-1)$ ). The computation of  $b$  itself requires simple manipulations of the data to arrive at model (1.11). These manipulations and the computation of  $\hat{b}$  also require  $O(N)$  time. In contrast, if least squares would be applied to (1.2) directly, one would have to invert a  $(2R+K) \times (2R+K)$ -matrix, which requires  $O((2R+K)^3)$  time. Thus, application of the Theorem reduces the computing time required approximately by a factor of  $R$ . In practice, where  $R$  may be in the hundreds, this may very well be the difference between feasibility and non-feasibility of the estimation task.

References

- Cesario, F.J. (1975), "Least Squares Estimation of Trip Distribution Parameters", Transportation Research, 9, pp. 13-18.
- Judge, G.G., W.E. Griffiths, R.C. Hill, T.C. Lee (1980), The Theory and Practice of Econometrics, Wiley, New York.
- Rohde, C.A. (1966), "Some Results on Generalized Inverse ", SIAM Review, 8, pp. 201-205.
- Scheffé, H. (1959), The Analysis of Variance, Wiley, New York.
- Searle, S.R. (1971), Linear Models, Wiley, New York.

IN 1983 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 126 H.H. Tigelaar  
Identification of noisy linear systems with multiple arma inputs.
- 127 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Statistical Analysis of Steady-State Simulations: Survey of Recent Progress.
- 128 A.J. de Zeeuw  
Two notes on Nash and Information.
- 129 H.L. Theuns en A.M.L. Passier-Grootjans  
Toeristische ontwikkeling - voorwaarden en systematiek; een selectief literatuuroverzicht.
- 130 J. Plasmans en V. Somers  
A Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method of a Three Market Disequilibrium Model.
- 131 R. van Montfort, R. Schippers, R. Heuts  
Johnson  $S_U$ -transformations for parameter estimation in arma-models when data are non-gaussian.
- 132 J. Glombowski en M. Krüger  
On the Rôle of Distribution in Different Theories of Cyclical Growth.
- 133 J.W.A. Vingerhoets en H.J.A. Coppens  
Internationale Grondstoffenovereenkomsten.  
Effecten, kosten en oligopolisten.
- 134 W.J. Oomens  
The economic interpretation of the advertising effect of Lydia Pinkham.
- 135 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Regression analysis: assumptions, alternatives, applications.
- 136 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
On the interpretation of variables.
- 137 G. van der Laan en A.J.J. Talman  
Simplicial approximation of solutions to the nonlinear complementarity problem with lower and upper bounds.

IN 1984 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 138 G.J. Cuypers, J.P.C. Kleijnen en J.W.M. van Rooyen  
Testing the Mean of an Asymmetric Population:  
Four Procedures Evaluated
- 139 T. Wansbeek en A. Kapteyn  
Estimation in a linear model with serially correlated errors when  
observations are missing
- 140 A. Kapteyn, S. van de Geer, H. van de Stadt, T. Wansbeek  
Interdependent preferences: an econometric analysis
- 141 W.J.H. van Groenendaal  
Discrete and continuous univariate modelling
- 142 J.P.C. Kleijnen, P. Cremers, F. van Belle  
The power of weighted and ordinary least squares with estimated  
unequal variances in experimental design
- 143 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Superefficient estimation of power functions in simulation  
experiments
- 144 P.A. Bekker, D.S.G. Pollock  
Identification of linear stochastic models with covariance  
restrictions.
- 145 Max D. Merbis, Aart J. de Zeeuw  
From structural form to state-space form
- 146 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman  
A new variable dimension simplicial algorithm to find equilibria on  
the product space of unit simplices.
- 147 G. van der Laan, A.J.J. Talman and L. Van der Heyden  
Variable dimension algorithms for improper labellings.
- 148 G.J.C.Th. van Schijndel  
Dynamic firm behaviour and financial leverage clienteles
- 149 M. Plattel, J. Peil  
The ethico-political and theoretical reconstruction of contemporary  
economic doctrines
- 150 F.J.A.M. Hoes, C.W. Vroom  
Japanese Business Policy: The Cash Flow Triangle  
an exercise in sociological demystification
- 151 T.M. Doup, G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman  
The  $(2^{n+1}-2)$ -ray algorithm: a new simplicial algorithm to compute  
economic equilibria

IN 1984 REEDS VERSCHENEN (vervolg)

- 152 A.L. Hempenius, P.G.H. Mulder  
Total Mortality Analysis of the Rotterdam Sample of the Kaunas-  
Rotterdam Intervention Study (KRIS)
- 153 A. Kapteyn, P. Kooreman  
A disaggregated analysis of the allocation of time within the  
household.



Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01066326 9